NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: SUPPORTIVE HEALTH, LLC, Debtor,

Civil Action No. 23-02095 (SDW)

CARLINE BOLIVAR,

Appellant,

v.

ERIC R. PERKINS, Chapter 7 Trustee,

Appellee.

OPINION

June 1, 2023

THIS MATTER having come before the Court *sua sponte* concerning *pro se* Appellant Carline Bolivar's ("Appellant" and sole member and manager of Supportive Health, LLC (the "Debtor")) appeal of a Bankruptcy Court Order granting a motion to sell Appellant Debtor's property at 3269 S. New York Ave., Milwaukee, WI,¹ (D.E. 1); and this Court having carefully reviewed and considered Appellant's submission; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rule") 8009, within 14 days of filing a notice of appeal, an "appellant must file with the bankruptcy clerk and serve on the appellee a designation of the items to be included in the record on appeal and a statement of the issues to be presented." FED. R. BANKR. P. 8009(a)(1)(A)–(B); and

WHEREAS Appellant filed a notice of appeal on April 14,2023. (*See* D.E. 1.) Thereafter, Appellant failed to file a designation of items to be included in the record and a statement of issues

¹ Bankruptcy Court docket number 274, entered on April 11, 2023. (D.E. 1 at 1.)

to be presented within 14 days of the notice of appeal. On May 17, 2023, the bankruptcy clerk issued a letter notifying Appellant that she "failed to file such designation or to request an extension of time within which to file same." (D.E. 2.) As of the date of this Opinion, which is more than thirty days past the deadline to file the required documents, Appellant still has not filed the required documents; and

WHEREAS The Third Circuit has held that "[d]ismissal typically occurs in cases showing consistently dilatory conduct or the complete failure to take any steps other than the mere filing of a notice of appeal." *Richardson v. Treacy, Shaffel, Moore & Mueller (In re Richardson Indus. Contrs.*), 189 Fed. Appx. 93, 97 (3d Cir. 2006) (alteration in original) (quoting *In re Beverly Mfg. Corp.*, 778 F.2d 666, 667 (11th Cir. 1985)). To be thorough, it is appropriate to review the *Poulis* factors, which require this Court to balance the following when considering dismissal of a bankruptcy appeal:

(1) the extent of the party's personal responsibility; (2) the prejudice to the adversary caused by the failure to meet scheduling orders and respond to discovery; (3) a history of dilatoriness; (4) whether the conduct of the party or the attorney was willful or in bad faith; (5) the effectiveness of sanctions other than dismissal, which entails an analysis of alternative sanctions; and (6) the meritoriousness of the claim or defense.

Id. (quoting *Poulis v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co.*, 747 F.2d 863, 868 (3d Cir. 1984). When applying the factors here, this Court finds that

(1) Appellant is responsible for failing to designate the record and provide the statement of issues. Appellant has previously filed multiple appeals and submitted the required designations of record and statements of issues in those appeals, thus should be well aware of the requirements of Rule 8009. *See, e.g., In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No.

22-06709, 2022 WL 17887230 (D.N.J. Dec. 23, 2022) (motion to alter judgment denied and appeal dismissed as moot); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No. 21-20464, 2022 WL 847630 (D.N.J. Mar. 22, 2022) (dismissed for failure to file a timely appeal, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 8002); *In re Supportive Health*, No. 22-00644 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No. 22-00646 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, 21-cv-20502 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, 21-cv-20503 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, 23-cv-00640 (dismissed for failure to file designation of record). In this matter, she simply failed to follow the requirements of the rule.

- (2) Appellee has been prejudiced because Appellant has precluded Appellee from defending this appeal on the merits in a timely manner, and has likewise prevented the Court from assessing the meritoriousness of Appellant's appeal in a timely manner. *See In re Farzan*, No. 20-7134, 2020 WL 13240129, at *1 (D.N.J. Oct. 20, 2020) (finding appellee prejudiced where appellant failed to file designation of record or a statement of issues).
- (3) Appellant has filed multiple unmeritorious appeals in this matter, which demonstrates a history of dilatoriness. *See generally In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No. 22-06709, 2022 WL 17887230 (D.N.J. Dec. 23, 2022) (motion to alter judgment denied and appeal dismissed as moot); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No. 21-20464, 2022 WL 847630 (D.N.J. Mar. 22, 2022) (dismissed for failure to file a timely appeal, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 8002); *In re Supportive Health*, No. 22-00644 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, No. 22-00646 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re Supportive Health, LLC*, 21-cv-20502 (dismissed for failure to file brief); *In re*

Case 2:23-cv-02095-SDW Document 3 Filed 06/01/23 Page 4 of 4 PageID: 71

Supportive Health, LLC, 21-cv-20503 (dismissed for failure to file brief); In re Supportive

Health, LLC, 23-cv-00640 (dismissed for failure to file designation of record).

(4) Because Appellant has a history of unmeritorious filings, this Court finds the

Appellant's conduct willful.

(5) Alternative sanctions would be fruitless, considering the dilatory nature of

Appellant's filings.

(6) Appellant has hindered this Court's ability to ascertain the merits of the claim,

because she filed only a notice of claim and did not file supporting documents, even after

receiving notice of her failure to do so from the bankruptcy clerk. See In re Richardson

Indus. Contrs., 189 Fed. Appx. at 97 (citing In re Champion, 895 F.2d 490, 492 (8th Cir.

1990) (finding no abuse of discretion in dismissing appeal where appellant had not filed

designation of record or statement of issues required by [the Bankruptcy Rules])); therefore

Appellant's appeal is *sua sponte* **DISMISSED** due to failure to comply with Bankruptcy

Rule 8009, and the matter shall be closed. An appropriate order follows.

/s/ Susan D. Wigenton

United States District Judge

Orig:

Clerk Parties

4